AVAILABLE NUTRIENT STATUS OF RUBBER GROWING SOILS IN THE LOWER BRAHMAPUTRA VALLEY OF ASSAM

Mrinal Choudhury, A.C. Sarma, T.K. Pal, S.K. Chakrabarty and S.K. Dey Regional Research Station, Rubber Research Institute of India, Rubber Board, Agartala – 799 006, Tripura, India.

Submitted: 21 August 2001 Accepted: 30 December 2004

Choudhury, M., Sarma, A.C., Pal, T.K., Chakrabarty, S.K. and Dey, S.K. (2004). Available nutrient status of rubber growing soils in the Lower Brahmaputra Valley of Assam. *Natural Rubber Research*, 17(2): 177-179.

The status of nutrients in rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) growing soils of lower Brahmaputra valley of Assam was studied for understanding the soil fertility. The soils were acidic to strongly acidic in nature and majority of them were medium in organic carbon, low in available phosphorus and medium in potassium. Wide variation in fertility ratings indicates that these soils require specific management measures to maintain fertility depending on the age of the rubber plantation.

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

Key words: Assam, Hevea brasiliensis, North East India, Nutrient status.

The rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) cultivation in Assam is mainly confined to lower Brahmaputra valley with total area of about 20,222 sq. km. comprising 25.75 per cent of the state. Entisols (new alluvium), alfisols (mountainious valley) and ultisol (laterised red soils) are the major soils present in the valley. The present note reports on the nutrient status of rubber growing soils of the lower Brahmaputra valley of Assam.

One thousand five hundred and ninety eight soil samples collected during 1997 to 2000 for advisory purpose have been utilised for evaluation of fertility status. The samples were air dried, pulverised and passed through 2 mm sieve before analysis. Standard methods were followed for determining soil pH (1:2 soil: water suspension), organic carbon (OC), available phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). The soils were categorised into low, medium and high status considering the critical limits as suggested by Pushpadas and Ahammed (1980).

Nutrient index values for OC, P and K were calculated by multiplying the percentage of soil samples (out of total number of soil samples analyzed for a given area) falling into low category with respect to any nutrient by a factor 1, those falling into medium category by 2 and those from high category by 3. The sum of this is divided by 100 and the value obtained is the nutrient index for that area with respect to that particular nutrient (Parker et al., 1951). For interpretation of nutrient indices, the limits suggested by Ramamoorthy and Bajaj (1969) were adopted. An area with a nutrient value of 1.67 or less is considered as low, between 1.67 and 2.33 as medium and above 2.33 as high.

In general, the soils were very acidic to strongly acidic and the pH values varied from 3.95 to 5.99 (Table 1). This indicates that these soils have developed from non-calcareous parent materials under conditions of high rainfall. Majority of the soils were

Correspondence: M. Choudhury (Email: mrinalrrii@yahoo.com)

Table 1. Soil pH, organic carbon, available phosphorus and potassium content of the rubber growing soils of lower Brahmaputra valley in Assam

| Location | No. of samples | pH (1:2.5) | OC (%) | P (mg/100g) | K (mg/100g) |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Gandhinagar | 70 | 4.71 (4.40-5.22) | 1.08 (0.41-3.04) | 0.14 (0.01-0.60) | 9.77 (5.00-14.50) |
| Sonapur | 110 | 4.91 (4.37-5.70) | 0.86 (0.30-1.94) | 0.15 (0.01-1.71) | 10.00 (2.20-19.80) |
| Nortap | 66 | 4.88 (4.36-5.27) | 1.36 (0.65-2.75) | 0.09 (0.01-0.39) | 9.62 (4.00-19.70) |
| Gariaghuli | 70 | 4.86 (4.15-5.65) | 0.84 (0.26-1,29) | 0.15 (0.01-0.50) | 7.85 (3.50-14.90) |
| Agia | 76 | 4.81 (3.95-5.32) | 0.95 (0.22-1.79) | 0.30 (0.01-2.30) | 10.80 (5.10-26.50) |
| Dwaraka | 106 | 4.85 (4.25-5.90) | 0.97 (0.23-2.37) | 0.17 (0.01-1.66) | 7.30 (1.80-18.10) |
| Bordamal | 80 | 4.77 (4.06-5.43) | 0.87 (0.30-1.67) | 0.17 (0.01-0.46) | 9.74 (3.30-19.60) |
| Lemakona | 88 | 5.03 (4.43-5.99) | 1.03 (0.24-3.13) | 0.25 (0.01-2.42) | 10.91 (4.70-26.00) |
| Panisali | 90 | 4.67 (4.33-5.05) | 0.76 (0.31-1.18) | 0.16 (0.01-0.61) | 6.72 (2.50-10.80) |
| Thorko | 112 | 4.95 (4.10-5.93) | 1.02 (0.37-2.25) | 0.29 (0.01-2.16) | 9.54 (2.60-32.50) |
| Noksigree | 98 | 4.65 (4.30-5.13) | 0.92 (0.41-2.30) | 0.06 (0.01-0.30) | 8.80 (4.20-31.50) |
| Hatigaon | 100 | 5.13 (4.33-5.96) | 1.50 (0.63-3.21) | 0.25 (0.02-2.07) | 13.78 (4.00-35.00) |
| Suarmari | 76 | 4.69 (4.31-5.89) | 1.10 (0.55-2.48) | 0.18 (0.04-1.62) | 9.95 (4.20-20.50) |
| Meselkhowa | 70 | 4.92 (4.37-5.88) | 1.38 (0.84-2.28) | 0.26 (0.03-1.81) | 11.75 (4.20-24.60) |
| Bordak | 72 | 4.69 (4.21-5.58) | 1.10 (0.53-2.12) | 0.20 (0.03-1.01) | 10.26 (5.50-25.60) |
| Dudhnoi | 66 | 4.75 (4.38-5.13) | 0.92 (0.31-1.90) | 0.27 (0.01-0.94) | 7.46 (3.00-17.40) |
| Darangiri | 68 | 4.76 (4.15-5.25) | 1.00 (0.49-2.40) | 0.38 (0.01-2.40) | 8.73 (3.90-25.50) |
| Nayekgaon | 58 | 4.86 (4.18-5.81) | 1.14 (0.72-1.52) | 0.14 (0.03-0.90) | |
| North Salmar | | 5.01 (4.57-5.83) | 0.73 (0.15-1.40) | 0.26 (0.01-1.43) | 3.24 (2.10-6.50) |
| Deohati | 54 | 5.01 (4.15-5.82) | 0.61 (0.11-1.40) | 0.36 (0.01-1.43) | 3.23 (1.60-15.90) |
| Mean | 51 | 4.85 (3.95-5.99) | 1.01 (0.11-3.21) | 0.36 (0.01-1.34) | 4.07 (1.60-10.80) 8.68 (1.60-35.00) |

Figures in parentheses indicate ranges

medium in organic carbon content, varying from 0.11 to 3.21 per cent with mean value of 1.01 per cent. About 13, 22, 16, 33 and 24 per cent of the soils from Gandhinagar, Nortap, Thorko, Hafigaon and Meselkhowa respectively were higher in organic carbon. Maintenance of leguminous cover during immature phase and good management practices may be the reasons for build up of organic matter in these areas. Moreover, the slow pace of oxidation inside the closed canopy of rubber plantation helps to maintain the high organic matter status (Krishnakumar and Potty, 1990). The age of the rubber plantation also caused variation in soil organic matter due to the variation in amounts of leaf litter added.

Most of the soils were low in available phosphorus which could be due to their high P fixing capacity under strongly acid soil environment resulting in the prevention of P availability in the soil solution (Satisha et

al., 2000). The available potassium content of the soils varied from 1.6 to 35.0 mg/100g with mean value of 8.68 mg/100g. Majority of the soils were medium in available K except soils from Nayekgaon, North Salmara and Deohati, where 90 per cent were low (Table 2).

Nutrient index values for organic carbon varied from 1.13 to 2.31 (Table 2). In majority of the areas the organic carbon content was in the medium range. For available phosphorus, the nutrient index values ranged from 1.00 to 1.13. Available potassium content of soils has shown wide variation in nutrient index values as well as fertility ratings. Nutrient index values for available potassium content ranged from 1.06 to 2.48. Fertility ratings for available potassium content of the soils ranged from low to high. From a similar study, Choudhury et al. (2001) reported that the rubber growing soils of Tripura are low to medium in organic carbon, low in available phosphorus

Table 2. Per cent distribution of soil samples under different nutrient classes and nutrient indices

| Location | Fertility class* | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|----|----|-----|---|-----|----|----------------|----|------|------|------|
| | ОС | | | P | | K | | Nutrient index | | | | |
| | L | M | Н | L | М | Н | L | M | H | ОС | P | K |
| Gandhinagar | 25 | 63 | 13 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 48 | 15 | 1.88 | 1.00 | 2.15 |
| Sonapur | 27 | 73 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 85 | 10 | 1.73 | 1.00 | 2.05 |
| Nortap | 3 | 75 | 22 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 78 | 14 | 2.19 | 1.00 | 1.78 |
| Gariaghuli | 38 | 62 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 82 | 0 | 1.62 | 1.00 | 1.82 |
| Agia | 27 | 64 | 9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 29 | 1.82 | 1.00 | 2.29 |
| Dwaraka | 25 | 67 | 9 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 84 | 12 | 1.84 | 1.01 | 1.82 |
| Bordamal | 31 | 66 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 73 | 18 | 1.71 | 1.00 | 2.08 |
| Lemakona | 32 | 68 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 5 | 1.68 | 1.00 | 2.05 |
| Panisali | 50 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 96 | 2 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1.90 |
| Thorko | 24 | 60 | 16 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 71 | 14 | 1.92 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| Noksigree | 36 | 61 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 87 | 9 | 1.67 | 1.00 | 2.05 |
| Hatigaon | 2 | 65 | 33 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 56 | 40 | 2.31 | 1.01 | 2.48 |
| Suarmari | 17 | 73 | 11 | 99 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 77 | 21 | 1.94 | 1.02 | 2.20 |
| Meselkhowa | 0 | 76 | 24 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 67 | 31 | 2.24 | 1.01 | 2.30 |
| Bordak | 7 | 94 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 8 | 1.94 | 1.00 | 2.08 |
| Dudhnoi | 27 | 70 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 88 | 5 | 1.77 | 1.00 | 1.98 |
| Darangiri | 29 | 63 | 8 | 92 | 3 | 5.3 | 16 | 63 | 21 | 1.79 | 1.13 | 2.06 |
| Nayekgaon | 4 | 86 | 11 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 2.07 | 1.00 | 1.07 |
| North Salmara | 50 | 50 | 0 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 94 | 6 | 0 | 1.50 | 1.08 | 1.06 |
| Deohati | 88 | 13 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 8 | 0 | 1.13 | 1.00 | 1.08 |

and low to medium in available potassium. Since there is wide variation in the organic carbon, available P and K status in different rubber growing regions of the lower Brahmaputra valley of Assam, soil should be tested at least once in two to three years for better productivity and profitability.

REFERENCES

Choudhury, M., Sarma, A.C., Pal, T.K., Chakarabarty, S.K. and Dey, S.K. (2001). Available nutrient status of the rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) growing soils of Tripura. *Indian Journal of Natural Rubber Research*, 14(1): 66-70.

Krishnakumar, A.K. and Potty, S.N. (1990). Nutrition in *Hevea*. In: *Natural Rubber: Biology, Cultivation and Technology* (Eds. M.R. Sethuraj and N.M. Mathew). Elsevier, pp. 239-262.

Parker, F.W., Nelson, W., Winter, E. and Miles, I.E. (1951). The broad interpretation and application of soil test information. *Agronomy Journal*, 43: 105-112.

The authors are thankful to Dr. Shammi Raj, Agrometeorologist, RRS, Agartala, for providing the agrometeorological data. Help received during soil sample collection from the scientists Dr. R.P. Singh, Mr. D. Mandal, and Mr. T. Gohain, is acknowledged with thanks.

Pushpadas, M.V. and Ahammed, M. (1980). Nutritional requirements and manurial recommendations. In: *Handbook of Natural Rubber Production in India* (Ed. P.N. Radharishna Pillay). Rubber Research Institute of India, Kottayam, India, p. 173.

Ramamoorthy, B. and Bajaj, J.C. (1969). Soil fertility maps of India. *Fertiliser News*, 14(8): 25.

Satisha, G.C., Dey, S.K., Pal, T.K. and Varghese, Y.A. (2000). Soil nutrient status of slope land under *Hevea brasiliensis* in Mizoram at varying altitudes and their relationship with soil properties. *Indian Journal of Natural Rubber Research*, 13(1&2): 46-55.

^{*} Fertility class : L = Low; M = Medium; H = High