INTERACTION OF ROOT- KNOT NEMATODE, MELOIDOGYNE INCOGNITA AND BRADYRHIZOBIUM SP. ON PUERARIA PHASEOLOIDES

S. Thankamony, R. Kothandaraman, C. Kuruvilla Jacob, Kochuthresiamma Joseph and V.T. Jose

Rubber Research Institute of India, Kottayam- 686 009, Kerala, India

Thankamony, S., Kothandaraman, R., Jacob, C.K., Joseph, K. and Jose, V.T. (2009). Interaction of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. on *Pueraria phaseoloides*. *Natural Rubber Research*, **22**(1&2): 127-132.

The effect of interaction of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. on growth, nodulation and nitrogen content in *Pueraria phaseoloides*, a cover crop grown in rubber plantations, was studied in a pot-culture experiment by inoculating different inoculum levels of *M. incognita* and *Bradyrhizobium*. Significant reduction in nodulation due to nematode infestation was observed. Maximum gall formation was recorded in plants inoculated with nematode alone. Simultaneous inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* and nematode or nematode inoculation after 10 days of bacterial inoculation reduced the adverse effect of nematode. Plant growth was increased by 5 to 13 per cent over control. Nitrogen content in the root and shoot of *P. phaseoloides* was considerably reduced by nematode inoculation at different inoculum levels. However, pre-inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* could increase nitrogen content of the plants.

Keywords: Bradyrhizobium, Interaction, Meloidogyne incognita, Pueraria phaseoloides.

INTRODUCTION

Natural rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) is an important plantation crop in India. A number of agricultural practices have been introduced to improve the production of natural rubber. Establishment of leguminous cover crops in the initial stages of cultivation is an important agronomic practice adopted in rubber plantations (Chin, 1977). Leguminous cover crops enrich soil with nitrogen, prevent soil erosion, reduce soil temperature, augment organic carbon in soil and support soil microbial activity (Punnoose *et al.*, 1994). All these beneficial effects of cover crop help

in increasing the growth rate and yield of *H. brasiliensis*. Among the various cover crops used in rubber plantations, *Pueraria phaseoloides* is the most popular one in India and elsewhere (Potty *et al.*, 1980). Several biotic and abiotic factors are reported to influence the growth, nodulation and nitrogen fixation in *P. phaseoloides*. Among them, an important biotic factor is the infection by the root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Mammen, 1973).

The root-knot nematode, M. incognita has caused significant changes in growth, nodulation, nitrogen fixation and

Correspondence: S. Thankamony (Email: thankamony@rubberboard.org.in)

biochemical constituents in a variety of leguminous crops (Gupta *et al.*, 1986; Kalita and Phukan, 1993). In rubber plantations, even though there are reports on the incidence and intensity of root-knot nematode in *P. phaseoloides* (Mammen, 1973), its adverse effect on the growth of the plant and physicochemcial activities is lacking. Hence, a pot-culture study was initiated to investigate the interaction of *M. incognita* and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. and its influence on growth of *P. phaseoloides*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sterilised potting mixture (1 kg) containing fine soil, sand and welldecomposed and powdered cow dung in the ratio 2:1:1(w/w) was filled in small (20×15 cm) polythene bags. The bags were kept in another sterilized pot to prevent the entry of soil organisms. Acid-treated seeds of P. phaseoloides were sown in bags. One week after germination, the seedlings were thinned to five per bag and inoculated with infective larvae of root-knot nematode (M. incognita). The source of nematode inoculum was tomato plants. The root-nodule bacterium, Bradyrhizobium sp. of P. phaseoloides obtained from the culture collections of Plant Pathology Division, RRII was multiplied in yeast extract mannitol broth and used in the experiment.

The treatments included inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. alone (R), simultaneous inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* and nematode (R+N), inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* followed by nematode after 10 days (R-N), inoculation of nematode followed by *Bradyrhizobium* sp. after 10 days (N-R), nematode alone (N) and control without any inoculation. Nematode was applied at four

levels viz. $N_1 = 1000$, $N_2 = 2000$, $N_3 = 3000$ and $N_4 = 4000$ nematodes/kg soil. The *Bradyrhizobium* was inoculated at the rate of $10 \text{ ml} (10^8 \text{ cfu/ml})$ per bag.

All the treatments were replicated three times. Observations were recorded on various growth characters such as shoot length, shoot dry weight, root length, root dry weight, number of galls and nodules and nitrogen content of shoot and root after 60 days of inoculation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results presented in Table 1 show that there were significant differences in the growth characteristics of the plants except shoot length between inoculated and uninoculated series. The nematode inoculation at 2000 to 4000 levels significantly reduced the shoot weight. However, the adverse effect of nematode could be reduced by *Bradyrhizobium* either by prior or simultaneous application. The application of bacteria after nematode inoculation (up to 3000 level) could also reduce the ill-effects.

Significant differences development of root system were recorded in inoculated and uninoculated plants. Plants inoculated with Bradyrhizobium alone showed 33 per cent increase of root length over control. Prior inoculation of nematodes and nematode alone inoculated series showed reduction in the root length of P. phaseoloides. The application of nematode @ 1000 did not affect the root length adversely. Nematode inoculation @ 5000 and 4000 significantly reduced root weight. Bradyrhizobium inoculation could reduce the negative effect of nematodes on root biomass. The plants inoculated with Bradyrhizobium alone and prior bacterial

Table 1. Effect of M. incognita and Bradyrhizobium on the growth of P. phaseoloides

| Treatment | Shoot length (cm) | Shoot weight (g) | Root length (cm) | Root weight (g) |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| R | 80.33 (+15.86) | 0.73 (+23.72) | 32.22 (+32.88) | 0.53 (+82.76) |
| R+N ₁ | 72.66 (+4.80) | 0.50 (-15.25) | 23.66 (-2.75) | 0.37 (+27.59) |
| R+N ₂ | 65.33 (-5.70) | 0.48 (-18.64) | 22.33 (-8.22) | 0.27 (-6.90) |
| $R+N_3$ | 63.66 (-8.18) | 0.42 (-28.81) | 19.33 (-20.55) | 0.24 (-17.24) |
| R+N ₄ | 52.00 (-24.94) | 0.34 (-42.37) | 14.00 (-42.45) | 0.22 (-24.14) |
| R-N ₁ | 74.00 (+6.74) | 0.53 (-10.17) | 29.33 (-20.55) | 0.46 (+58.62) |
| R-N ₂ | 62.33 (-10.09) | 0.47 (-20.34) | 23.66 (-2.75) | 0.25 (-13.79) |
| R-N ₃ | 59.00 (-14.89) | 0.45 (-23.73) | 21.00 (-13.69) | 0.22 (-24.14) |
| R-N ₄ | 51.33 (-25.96) | 0.46 (-22.03) | 18.66 (-31.52) | 0.18 (-37.93) |
| N ₁ -R | 78.00 (+12.50) | 0.41 (-30.51) | 16.66 (-31.52) | 0.28 (-3.45) |
| N2-R | 72.30 (+4.32) | 0.37 (-37.29) | 15.66 (-36.99) | 0.26 (-10.34) |
| N ₃ -R | 56.33 (-18.75) | 0.35 (-40.68) | 15.33 (-36.99) | 0.24 (-17.24) |
| N4-R | 54.33 (-21.15) | 0.28 (-52.54) | 14.66 (-39.75) | 0.15 (-48.27) |
| N_1 | 59.00 (-14.89) | 0.38 (-35.29) | 20.00 (-17.79) | 0.20 (-31.03) |
| N ₂ | 61.00 (-12.01) | 0.31 (-47.46) | 15.00 (-38.35) | 0.16 (-44.83) |
| N ₃ | 59.66 (-13.95) | 0.29 (-50.85) | 14.33 (-41.10) | 0.11 (-62.09) |
| N ₄ | 48.33 (-30.29) | 0.26 (-55.93) | 10.66 (-56.18) | 0.10 (-65.52) |
| Control | 69.33 | 0.59 | 24.33 | 0.29 |
| CD (P = 0.05) | NS | 0.25 | 7.00 | 0.16 |

Figures in parentheses represent per cent increase (+)/decrease (-) over control

inoculation @ 1000 level of nematode significantly increased root weight.

Maximum nodulation was recorded in *Bradyrhizobium* alone inoculated plants and was found comparable to simultaneous inoculation along with nematode @ 1000 per bag (Table 2). Simultaneous inoculation showed more nodulation in plants than the prior or later inoculation of both the organisms. The nematode inoculation prior to *Bradyrhizobium* resulted in maximum reduction of nodules. On the other hand, number of galls per plant increased with an increase in nematode inoculum. Significant reduction in gall formation was recorded in

prior inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* at higher levels of nematode inoculation.

Nitrogen content in shoot was significantly higher in prior inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* followed by nematode application up to 3000 level compared to control (Table 3). But it was significantly lower in nematode alone from 2000 level and simultaneous and post-bacterial inoculation at higher nematode levels. Nitrogen content of root was significantly higher in *Bradyrhizobium* alone inoculated and prior inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium* with nematodes up to 2000 level. Significantly lower nitrogen content was noticed in plants

Table 2. Effect of M. incognita and Bradyrhizobium on nodulation and nematode galls of P. phaseoloides

| P. phaseoloides | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|
| Treatment | No. of nodules | No. of galls | | |
| | per plant | per plant | | |
| R | 164 Nil | | | |
| R+N ₁ | 140 (14.63) | 48.00 (5.25) | | |
| R+N ₂ | 121 (26.22) | 56.33 (3.43) | | |
| R+N ₃ | 105 (35.98) | 79.33 (4.02) | | |
| R+N ₄ | 61 (62.80) | 110.66 (7.78) | | |
| R-N ₁ | 80 (51.22) | 32.00 (36.83) | | |
| R-N ₂ | 66 (59.76) | 43.66 (25.15) | | |
| R-N ₃ | 54 (67.07) | 57.00 (31.04) | | |
| R-N ₄ | 34 (79.27) | 78.88 (34.45) | | |
| N ₁ -R | 77 (53.05) | 44.33 (12.49) | | |
| N2-R | 64 (60.98) | 62.66 (8.55) | | |
| N3-R | 45 (72.56) | 80.33 (2.82) | | |
| N4-R | 29 (82.32) | 81.66 (31.95) | | |
| N ₁ | Nil | 50.66 | | |
| N ₂ | Nil | 58.33 | | |
| N ₃ | Nil | 82.66 | | |
| N ₄ | Nil | 120.00 | | |
| Control | Nil | Nil | | |
| CD (P = 0.05) | 28.33 | 21.64 | | |

Figures in parentheses represent per cent decrease of nodules over *Bradyrhizobium* alone inoculated plants and galls over nematode alone inoculated plants.

with prior inoculation of nematodes from 2000 level. Inoculation of nematodes and simultaneous application @ 3000 and 4000 levels significantly reduced nitrogen content compared to control.

Due to mechanical injury caused by nematodes to root tissues, the water absorption efficiency, mineral uptake and total biomass were found reduced in mung bean (Chahal and Chahal, 1989). The effect of interaction of *M. javanica* on growth,

Table 3. Effect of *M. incognita* and *Bradyrhizobium* on nitrogen content of *P. phaseoloides* 60 days after inoculation

| Treatment | Nitrogen content (%) | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| | Shoot | Root | |
| R | 2.60 (+28.07) | 2.77 (+37.81) | |
| $R + N_1$ | 1.98 (-2.46) | 1.93 (-3.98) | |
| $R + N_2$ | 1.91(-5.91) | 1.86 (-7.46) | |
| $R + N_3$ | 1.84 (-9.36) | 1.80 (-10.45) | |
| R + N ₄ | 1.78 (-12.32) | 1.74 (-13.43) | |
| R-N ₁ | 2.49 (+22.66) | 2.47 (+22.89) | |
| R-N ₂ | 2.45 (+20.69) | 2.39 (+18.91) | |
| R-N ₃ | 2.30 (+13.30) | 2.20 (+9.45) | |
| R-N ₄ | 2.19 (+7.88) | 2.16 (+7.46) | |
| N ₁ -R | 2.14 (+5.42) | 2.11 (+4.98) | |
| N2-R | 1.77 (-12.81) | 1.58 (-21.39) | |
| N3-R | 1.70 (-16.26) | 1.54 (-23.38) | |
| N4-R | 1.57 (-22.66) | 1.39 (-30.85) | |
| N ₁ | 1.91 (-5.91) | 1.96 (-2.48) | |
| N ₂ | 1.80 (-11.33) | 1.84 (-8.45) | |
| N ₃ | 1.57 (-22.66) | 1.58 (-21.39) | |
| N ₄ | 1.35 (-33.50) | 1.47 (-26.86) | |
| Control | 2.03 | 2.01 | |
| CD $(P = 0.05)$ | 0.18 | 0.2 | |

Figures in parentheses denote per cent increase (+)/ decrease (-) over control

nodulation and nitrogen content in Vigna radiata has also been reported by Bopaih et al. (1976). According to them, the inoculation of root-knot nematode simultaneously or 2 to 7 days preceding Bradyrhizobium inoculation had deleterious effect on plant growth compared to plants inoculated with Bradyrhizobium alone. Kumar and Vadivelu (1993) reported significant decrease in the growth parameters, nodulation and nitrogen fixation by the interaction of M. incognita and Rhizobium sp. on black gram, Vigna mungo.

Kemenju et al. (1999) reported that both nodulation and nitrogen fixation processes were adversely affected especially in plants where nematode inoculation preceded rhizobial inoculation. Wardojo et al. (1963) and Taha and Raski (1969) also reported growth reduction in peas, lucerne and clover by the infestation of Heterodera Pratylenchus globulicola Romaniko and M. javanica respectively. They have reported significant reduction in growth, fresh and dry weight of shoot and root in the treatments with nematode alone and nematode followed by rhizobia compared to the treatment, rhizobia followed by nematode.

Significant reduction in nodulation due to root-knot nematode, *M. incognita* observed in the present study is in accordance with earlier reports in cowpea (Sharma and Sethi, 1976) and mung bean (Chahal and Chahal, 1989; Khan, *et al.*, 2006). Nutman (1958) reported that reduced nodulation in the nematode-infested plants might be due to interruption in the translocation of certain materials particularly carbohydrates from the shoot and/or consumption of host plant materials during gall formation or directly by nematodes. Different theories like nutrient deficiency caused by nematodes on host plants (Malek and Jenkins, 1964),

competition between nematode and rootnodule bacteria (Ichinohe, 1961; Epps and Chambers, 1962; Malek and Jenkins, 1964), antagonistic effect of root-rot organism upon root nodule bacteria (Epps and Chambers, 1962) and overall reduction of root system (Taha and Raski, 1969) have been put forth as possible causes for reduced nodulations. Daney et al. (1970) reported that nematodes can secrete hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes and growth regulators which cause reduction in nodulation, host nutrition and nitrogen fixation. The reduction in nitrogen content is reported to be due to reduced root weight, overall reduction in number of nodules, early degeneration of nodules, destruction of nodular tissue by development of nematode inside nodules and reduced leghaemoglobin content of nodules (Sharma and Sethi, 1975). It may therefore be concluded from the present study that root-knot nematode, M. incognita adversely affects the growth and symbiotic process of nitrogen fixation Bradyrhizobium sp. on P. phaseoloides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Dr. James Jacob, Director, Rubber Research Institute of India for providing necessary facilities.

REFERENCES

- Bopaih, B.M., Patil, R.B. and Reddy, D.D.R. (1976). Effect of Meloidogyne javanica on nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation in mung, Vigna radiata. Indian Journal of Nematology, 6: 124-130.
- Chahal, P.P.K. and Chahal, V.P.K. (1989). Adverse effect of *Meloidogyne incognita* on the functioning of nodules produced by *Rhizobium* sp. on mung bean. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, **19**: 177-179.
- Chin S.L. (1977). Leguminous cover crops for smallholdings. Planter's Bulletin, 150: 83-97.

- Daney, D.L., Fife, J.M. and Whitney, E.D. (1970). The effect of sugar nematode, *Heterodera sachachtii* on the free amino acids in resistant and susceptible *Beta* species. *Phytopathology**60: 1727-1729.
- Epps, J.M. and Chambers, A.Y. (1962). Nematode inhibits nodules on soya beans. *Crops and Soils*, 15: 18.
- Gupta, D.C., Paruthi, I.J. and Verma, K.K. (1986). Reaction of mung bean germplasm and its

- pathogenecity against Meloidogyne javanica. Indian Journal of Nematology, 16 (2): 194-196.
- Ichinohe, M. (1961). Studies on the soya bean cyst nematode *Heterodera glycines*. *Hokkaido National Agriculture Experiment Station*, Report **56**, p. 80.
- Kalita, D.N. and Phukan, P.N. (1993). Pathogenecity of *Meloidogyne incognita* on blackgram. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, **23** (1): 105-109.
- Kemenju, J.W., Karanja, N.K. and Macharia, I. (1999). Plant parasitic nematodes associated with common bean in Kenya and the effect of *Meloidogyne* infection on bean nodulation. *African Crop Science Journal*, 7(4): 503-510.
- Khan, A., Zaki, M.J. and Mahmood, T. (2006). Seed treatment with nematicidal rhizobium species for suppression of *Meloidogyne javanica* root infection on mung bean. *International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 3(3): 575-578.
- Kumar, S. and Vadivelu, S. (1993). Interrelationship between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizobium* sp. on black gram, Vigna mungo (L) hopper. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, **23** (1): 75-81.
- Malek, R.B. and Jenkins, W.R. (1964). Aspects of the host parasite relationships of nematodes and hairy vetch. *Bulletin of National Agriculture Experiment Station*, **813**: 31.
- Mammen, K.V. (1973). Meloidogyne incognita infesting the cover crops of rubber in Kerala. Current Science, 42(18): 69.
- Nutman, P.S. (1958). The physiology of nodule

- formation. In: *Nutrition of the legumes*. (Ed. E.G. Hallsworth). Academic Press, New York, pp. 87-107.
- Potty, S.N., Kothandaraman, R. and Mathew, M. (1980). Field upkeep. In: *Hand book of Natural Rubber Production of India*. (Ed. P.N. Radhakrishna Pillai). Rubber Research Institute of India, pp. 35-56.
- Punnoose, K.I., Mathew, M., Pothen, J., George, E.S. and Lakshmanan, R. (1994). Response of rubber to fertilizer application in relation to type of ground cover maintained during immature phase. *Indian Journal of Natural Rubber Research*, 7(1): 38-45.
- Sharma, N.K. and Sethi, C.L. (1975). Effect of initial inoculum levels of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Heterodera cajani* on cowpea and their population development. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 5: 148-154.
- Sharma, N.K. and Sethi, C.L. (1976). Interrelationship between Meloidogyne incognita, Heterodera cajani and Rhizobium sp. on cowpea, Vigna sinensis (L). Indian Journal of Nematology, 6: 117-123.
- Taha, A.J. and Raski, D.J. (1969). Interrelationship between root nodule bacteria, plant parasitic nematodes and their leguminous host. *Journal of Nematology*, 1: 201-211.
- Wardojo, S., Hijink, M.T. and Oostenbrink, M. (1963). Schade bij witte klaver door inoculative met Heterodera trifolii, Meloidogyne hapla en Pratylenchus penetrans, Moded Land bouwhogesch opzoeskstns, 28: 672-678.