## IMPACT OF WEATHER ON YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN SOME ELITE HEVEA CLONES

The influence of weather on the yield of Hevea is well recognised. The ultimate effect of weather parameters on yield is mediated through their effects on various yield components. The composite effect of environmental variables influences the yield of rubber more than any of the individual effect (Rao et al., 1990). Moreover the variations in the meteorological parameters such as irradiance, temperature and vapour pressure deficit when exceeding a given threshold may cause stress in Hevea. A better understanding of the role of individual environmental factors on yield through their influence on yield components would be useful to evaluate the performance of different Hevea clones.

Observations were made in a clone evaluation trial laid out at the Rubber Research Institute of India Farm, Kottayam (Latitude 9° 32'N, Longitude 76° 36'E and altitude 73m above msl). Four hybrid Hevea clones (14/82, 17/82, 22/82 and 30/82) in an eleven year old plantation were selected for this study. These clones were developed from the crosses between RRII 105 and RRIC 100. The hybrids were comparable in yield to RRII 105 (Licy, 1997). The trees were maintained under uniform cultural practices and the same system of tapping. Six trees of uniform girth were selected from each clone for recording observations. Yield (g/tree/tap) and the yield components initial flow (IF) rate (ml/cm/min), dry rubber content (DRC) (% W/v) and plugging index (PI) were measured using standard methods (Milford et al., 1969). Observations were made at weekly intervals from January to July 1996.

The soil moisture and weather data were also recorded on the days on which the yield components were recorded. Soil moisture was determined gravimetrically from samples collected from depths of 0 to 15 and 15 to 30 cm on the previous and the same day of the yield data analysis. Weather data like maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, soil temperature, sunshine hours and rainfall from the RRII agrometeorological observatory were collected. Rainfall was recorded only for dry months 'extending from January to May.

Path coefficient analysis (Williams et al., 1990) was used to understand the direct and indirect effects of environmental factors on yield through yield components. The correlation between the meteorological factors and yield were worked out. The data on the path coefficient analysis for each Hevea clone are shown separately in Tables 1 to 5. Results indicated that the direct negative influence of maximum temperature is high for the hybrid 14/82. Wind speed and soil temperature had significant negative association influencing the yield indirectly. Sunshine hours positively influenced the yield, both directly and indirectly through maximum temperature. Cumulative rainfall had significant positive influence on the yield through yield components. The results indicate that the clone 14/82 is very sensitive to weather in general and to temperature in particular.

Table 1. Direct and indirect effects of the meteorological parameters on yield and its components for clone 14/82

Meteorological	Direct						Indirect effects	ffects					
parameter	effects	田	DRC	Ы	ST(M)	(pd)	RH(E)	Max T (pd)	Min T (same day)	Pan (pd)	Min T (pd)	Sun shine	Total correlation
ST(M)	-0.1272	-0.1073	-0.05539	-0.3078	ļ	-0.0106	0.0439	-0.3833	0.1832	0.07560	-0.04696	0.1455	-0.5943
WS (pd)	-0.02119	-0.0664	-0.03837	-0.2811	0.0675	1	0.0675	-0.4144	0.1338	0.05166	0.0224	0.1855	-0.4244
RH(E)	-0.2193	-0.0357	-0.02156	-0.0900	0.0255	0.00652	1	0.4399	-0.0196	-0.620	0.0147	-0.3175	-0.255
Max T (pd)	-0.6046	-0.0345	-0.0168	-0.1156	-0.0815	-0.145	0.1596	1	0.1378	0.0857	-0.0327	0.3430	-0.1743
Min T(same day)	0.2339	-0.0851	-0.0570	-0.3875	-0.0997	-0.0121	0.0184	-0.3556	l	0.0638	-0.468	0.1329	-0.7244
Pan(E) (pd)	0.1303	-0.0218	-0.0319	-0.23211	-0.0738	-0.00839	0.1043	-0.3975	0.1144	I	-0.0334	0.2064	-0.2435
Min T (pd)	-0.05865	-0.0723	-0.0395	-0.2556	-0.1019	-0.00811	0.0552	-0.3311	0.1866	0.0743	I	0.1348	-0.13095
Sunshine	0.4486	0.0316	0.00376	0.02966	-0.04167	-0.00884	0.1565	0.4564	0.0699	0.0605	-0.0177	1	0.2639
TE-S COLS	, O	The state of the s		(1)	:	. 11.						i i	

ST(M): Soil temperature morning; WS(pd): Wind speed previous day; RH(E): Relative humidity evening; Max T (pd): Maximum temperature previous day; Min T (pd): Minimum temperature (previous day)

Table 2. Direct and indirect effects of the meteorological parameters on yield and its components for clone 17/82

Meteorological	Direct						Indirect effects	ffects					
parameter	effects	田	DRC	FI	ST(M)	(pd)	RH(E)	Max T (pd)	Min T (same day)	Pan (pd)	Min T (pd)	Sun shine	Total correlation
ST(M)	-0.0847	-0.0182	0.1450	-0.4903	ŀ	96000.0	0.03120	-0.1821	-0.1038	0.0227	0.01948	0.04175	-0.0170
WS (pd)	0.000135	0.01389	0.1635	-0.4832	-0.04245	ı	0.04803	-0.1948	-0.0758	0.0155	0.000931	0.05319	-0.4726
RH(E)	-0.1560	0.02438	0.01766	-0.00841	0.01696	-0.00041	1	0.2068	0.0111	-0.0186	-0.00612	-0.0910	-0.00332
Max T(pd)	-0.2842	-0.0257	-0.0895	-0.3227	-0.0543	0.000093	0.1135	1	-0.07703	0.02575	0.0135	0.09838	-0.424
Min T (same day)	-0.1325	-0.0622	0.0945	-0.5112	-0.663	0.000077	0.01311	-0.1672	ı	0.01916	0.0194	0.0381	-0.75505
Pan(E) (pd)	0.0392	0.0705	0.1950	-0.3766	-0.0491	0.0000540	0.0747	-0.1869	-0.0648	1	0.01387	0.05919	-0.2248
Min T (pd)	0.2433	-0.04277	0.09195	-0.3903	-0.0678	0.00085	0.0393	-0.1584	-0.1057	0.02233	ı	0.0387	-0.5483
Sunshine	0.1275	-0.0732	-0.0469	-0.5812	-0.0277	0.00005	0.111	-0.2192	-0.0395	0.01817	0.00737	ļ	-0.2005

ST(M): Soil temperature inpring; WS(pd): Wind speed previous day; RH(E): Relative humidity evening; Max T (pd): Maximum temperature previous day; Min T (pd): Minimum temperature (previous day)

Table 3. Direct and indirect effects of the meteorological parameters on yield and its components for clone 22/82

Meteorological	Direct				b		Indirect effects	iffects					
parameter	effects	田	DRC	PI	ST(M)	(pd)	RH(E)	Max T (pd)	Min T (same day)	Pan (pd)	Min T (pd)	Sun shine	Total correlation
ST(M)	-0.02812	-0.11073	0.07282	-0.43233	1	0.03622	0.02063	-0.05724	-0.04173	-0.06569	0.02058	0.04850	-0.5370
WS (pd)	0.0723	0.03800	0.00202	-0.4420	-0.01410	1	0.0317	-0.06126	-0.03047	-0.04490	-0.00984	0.06179	-0.3848
RH(E)	-0.10301	-0.16942	0.09299	-0.20897	0.00563	-0.02234	1	0.00501	0.00447	0.05389	-0.00647	-0.10577	-0.3939
Max T(pd)	-0.08936	0.08857	-0.02706	-0.18387	-0.01802	0.04953	0.07494	ı	-0.03133	-0.08449	0.01432	0.1143	-0.0822
Min T (same)	-0.05326	-0.09044	0.09831	-0.5354	-0.02204	0.04133	0.00865	-0.05256	ı	-0.05544	0.02051	0.04426	-0.5960
Pan(E) (pd)	-0.1132	0.0793	0.005621	-0.2909	-0.01631	0.02863	0.049014	-0.05876	-0.02607	1	0.01465	0.08876	-0.2592
Min T (pd)	0.02569	-0.06482	0.06406	-0.35011	-0.02252	0.02767	0.02595	-0.04981	-0.04251	-0.0646	1	0.04491	-0.4060
Sunshine	0.14814	0.11823	-0.04764	0.1023	-0.009200	0.03014	0.07355	-0.06893	-0.0159	-0.05258	0.007791	1	0.2858

ST(M): Soil temperature morning; WS(pd): Wind speed previous day; RH(E): Relative humidity evening; Max T (pd): Maximum temperature previous day; Min T (pd): Minimum temperature (previous day)

	Table 4	Table 4. Direct and indirect effects of the meteorological parameters on yield and its components for clone 30/82	ndirect effer	ts of the m	eteorologica	al paramete	rs on yield	and its con	ponents to	clone 30/8.	2		
Meteorological	Direct						Indirect effects	fects					
parameter	effects	出	DRC	Ы	ST(M)	SM (pd)	RH(E)	Max T (pd)	Min T (same day)	Pan (pd)	Min T (pd)	Sun shine	Total cor- relation
ST(M)	-0.1272	-0.1073	-0.05539	-0.3078	1	-0.0106	0.0439	-0.3833	0.1832	0.07560	-0.04696	0.1455	-0.5943
(pd) SM	0.1309	0.19815	0.09809	-0.14459	-0.03739	. 1	0.03053	0.03556	-0.11505	-0.05281	0.05281	0.09665	0.2872
RH(E)	-0.099241	0.03203	0.1119	-0.19353	0.01494	-0.04027	1	-0.03774	0.01687	0.07013	-0.03474	-0.1655	-0.3251
Max T(pd)	0.05188	0.09225	-0.02314	-0.00943	-0.04779	0.08971	0.07219	1	-0.11832	-0.09692	0.07688	0.17876	0.26807
Min T (same)	-0.20114	0.11371	0.09705	-0.24405	-0.05844	0.07486	0.008326	0.03052	l.	-0.07213	0.11007	0.06924	-0.0819
Pan (E) (pd)	-0.14739	0.15958	0.08142	-0.09156	-0.04326	0.05187	0.04722	0.03412	-0.09844	1	0.07866	0.10757	0.17979
Min T (pd)	0.13792	0.1275	0.08428	-0.15289	-0.05973	0.05011	0.02499	0.02892	-0.16052	-0.08405	l	0.07026	0.06679
Sunshine	¥0.23173	0.02774	-0.13736	0.14818	-0.02442	0.05458	980200	0.04002	-0.06009	-0.06842	0.04182	1	0.3246

ST(M): Soil temperature morning; WS(pd): Wind speed previous day; RH(E): Relative humidity evening; Max T (pd): Maximum temperature previous day; Min T (pd): Minimum temperature (previous day)

Table 5. Path analysis using rainfall from January to May

	_	Cumulative effect of a	ainfall upto 3 months	
Clone	Direct	Indirect	effect thourgh yield com	nponents
	effect	. IF	DRC	PI
14/82	0.2219	0.2065	0.0142	0.3562
17/82	0.0675	-0.0420	-0.2468	0,7384
22/82	0.1022	0.0522	-0.0419	-0.0753
30/82	-0.1002	-0.3130	-0.2811	0.5378

For the clone 17/82, indirect effects of meteorological parameters were more relevant as compared to that of direct effects. Direct association of the environmental parameters was significantly low except that of maximum temperature. Indirect negative influence of soil temperature and wind speed through PI were high, even though their direct effects were negligible. While sunshine has only low direct influence, rainfall indirectly influenced yield through PI.

The direct influence of minimum temperature on yield was high and the influence of other meteorological variables was practically nil for the clone 30/82. On the whole, the clone 30/82 can be regarded as a hardy clone next to 22/82.

There was no direct and indirect influence of meteorological parameters on the clone 22/82. In the case of other *Hevea* clones studied there was either the direct or the indirect influence. Hence 22/82 can be regarded as a *Hevea* clone which is not

influenced by the environment. Other clones were found to be influenced by various environmental factors. The percentage reduction in yield in summer was minimum for 22/82 and the CV of yield in two seasons was also minimum (Table 6).

On the whole, the result of the path coefficient analysis indicated that temperature is the most important single climatic factor directly influencing the day-to-day variations in yield of Hevea. On the contrary, indirect influence through yield components is more for soil temperature (morning) and wind speed (previous day). In the case of rainfall, indirect influence through PI was more pronounced. Results are in agreement with the data of Milford et al. (1969). Saraswathyamma and Sethuraj (1975) reported that though a strong clonal character, plugging index is easily influenced by environmental factors and noted a relationship between variations in yield and initial flow rate. Similar positive correlations with latex yield and initial flow

Table 6. Stability of yield over seasons

Clone	Mean summer yield (g/tree/tap)	Annual mean yield (g/tree/tap)	Reduction of mean summer yield from annual mean yield (%)	CV of yield bet- ween two seasons (peak and lean)
14/82	67.53	96.31	29.88	. 38
17/82	35.08	70.69	50.37	63
22/82	59.74	75.88	21.27	29
30/82	51.53	82.99	37.91	45

Britania de la companya de la compa

rate were reported earlier by several investigators (Sethuraj et al., 1974; Yeang and Paranjothy, 1982; Mydin et al., 1992). The significant influence of morning soil temperature on yield (Sethuraj, 1977) was observed to be through its effect on the PI.

The indirect influence of wind speed through other environmental parameters was also evident from the path coefficient analysis. The influence of pan evaporation and RH on yield, on the whole, was not direct but through other factors and therefore did not show significant association as

## **REFERENCES**

- Licy, J. (1997). Variability, correlation and heterosis for yield and yield components in certain hybrid clones of the para rubber tree, Hevea brasiliensis (Willd. ex Adr. de Juss.) Muell. Arg. Ph.D Thesis, University of Kerala, India, 158 p.
- Mydin, K.K., Nair, V.G., Sethuraj, M.R., Panikkar, A.O.N. and Saraswathy, P. (1992). Estimates of genetic parameters for yield and certain yield components in rubber. *Gregor Johann Mendel Birthday Lecture Series and International Symposium*, 1992, Calicut, India.
- Milford, G.F.J., Paardekooper, E.C. and Ho Chai Yee (1969). Latex vessel plugging, its importance to yield and clonal behaviour. *Journal* of the Rubber Research Institute of Malaya, 21(3): 274-282.
- Rao, G.G., Rao, P.S., Rajagopal, R., Devakumar, A.S., Vijayakumar, K.R. and Sethuraj, M.R. (1990). Influence of soil, plant and meteorological factors on water relations and yield in Hevea brasiliensis. International Journal of Biometeorology, 34(3): 175-180.
- Sailajadevi, T., Nair, R.B., Kothandaraman, R. and Sethuraj, M.R. (1998). Impact of weather parameters on seasonal and inter-year variations in yield of rubber. In: *Developments in Plantation Crops Research* (Eds. N.M. Mathew and C. Kuruvilla Jacob). Allied Publishers, New Delhi, pp. 170-174.
- Saraswathyamma, C.K. and Sethuraj, M.R. (1975). Clonal variation in latex flow characteristics and yield in rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*). *Journal of Plantation Crops*, 3(1): 14-15.

reported earlier on the basis of simple correlation analysis (Sailajadevi et al., 1998). A longer period of sunshine and adequate amount of summer rainfall have moderate positive effect on yield. Summer rainfall also contributed to the yield indirectly through PI. The residual value 0.21 obtained shows that unaccounted variability other than the environmental parameters was negligible.

Authors express their deep gratitude to Dr. C. Kuruvilla Jacob, Plant Pathologist, RRII for critically going through the manuscript.

- Sethuraj, M.R., Sulochanamma, S. and George, P.J. (1974). Influence of the initial flow rate, latex vessel rows and plugging index on the yield of hand pollinated clones of *Hevea brasiliensis*. Muell. Arg. with Tjir 1 as the female parent. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 44(6): 354-356.
- Sethuraj, M.R. (1977). Studies on the physiological factors influencing yield in Hevea brasiliensis Muell. Arg. Ph.D Thesis, Banaras Hindu University, Banaras, India.
- Williams, W.A., Jones, M.D. and Demment, M.W. (1990). A concise table for path analysis statistics. *Agronomy Journal*, 82(5): 1022-1024.
- Yeang, H.Y. and Paranjothy, K. (1982). Initial physiological changes in *Hevea* latex and latex flow characteristics associated with intensive tapping. *Journal of the Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia*, 39(1): 31-44.

T. Sailajadevi (for correspondence)

R.B. Nair

S.K. Dey

A.S. Devakumar

J. Licy

R. Kothandaraman

M.R. Sethuraj

Rubber Research Institute of India Kottayam - 686 009

Kerala, India

Email: rrii@vsnl.com