EFFECT OF AGROCLIMATE OF MIZORAM ON EARLY ESTABLISHMENT OF HEVEA IN POLYBAGS

R.P. Singh, D. Mandal and D. Chaudhuri

Singh, R.P., Mandal, D., Chaudhuri, D. (2001). Effect of agroclimate of Mizoram on early establishment of *Hevea* in polybags. *Indian Journal of Natural Rubber Research*, **14**(2): **142** - **145**.

A nursery experiment was conducted for two consecutive years with a view to study the effects of agroclimate on sprouting success and growth of two popular *Hevea* clones *viz*. RRIM 600 and RRII 105. Budded stumps of these clones were planted in the second week of every month consecutively for two years. The sprouting success and growth parameters recorded showed wide variations. Maximum air temperature and sunshine hours had a positive effect on sprouting and growth. The more favourable period for planting budded stumps in polybags was from March to May under the agroclimatic conditions of Mizoram.

Key words: Agroclimate, Budded stumps, Hevea brasiliensis, Mizoram.

R.P. Singh (for correspondence), D. Mandal and D. Chaudhuri, Regional Research Station, Rubber Research Institute of India, Guwahati - 781 006, Assam, India.

INTRODUCTION

Vegetative propagation of rubber (Hevea brasiliensis) through budgrafting followed by raising the budded stumps in polybags and finally transplanting the plants to the field is the accepted agronomical practice. Successful establishment of *Hevea* in polybag nursery is influenced by the environment, soil physico-chemical properties etc. In Mizoram, attempts have been made to cultivate rubber for the last two decades. However, no systematic study has so far been carried out to assess the influence of various climatic factors on sprouting success and subsequent growth of plants in the The present study was polybags. undertaken to identify the time best suited for planting budded stumps in polybags and to observe the influence of agroclimatic factor(s) on their growth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rubber seedlings raised in a nursery at Tuichhuihan Farm, Regional Research Station of the Rubber Research Institute of India at Kolasib in Mizoram during August/ September 1992 and 1993, were used as stock plants for the study. Two popular clones, RRIM 600 and RRII 105 were used as scion material for budding. Brown budding was done on 16 months old seedlings. Budded stumps of each clone were planted in polybags in the second week of every month for two consecutive years. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design with fifty polybag plants per clone. Watering, manuring and other routine cultural practices were carried out as per recommendations (Rubber Board, 1990). Data on sprouting was recorded at an interval of fifteen days for each clone and continued up to a period of 150 days. Morphological parameters at 150 days after planting in polybags were also recorded from the sprouted plants. The data was subjected to angular transformation for analysis of variance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Agrometeorological parameters like rainfall, relative humidity, sunshine hours and mean air temperature were recorded during the experimental period.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There were significant differences in the coefficient of variation among the months, years and month x year interaction on the successful establishment of polybag plants. The sprouting success of two Hevea clones are tabulated in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The budded plants planted during November to January started sprouting within 60 days and continued to sprout till 150 days. However, the stumps planted during February to July sprouted within 30 days and completed sprouting by 90 days. The stumps planted between August to October showed irregular sprouting. Significant difference in sprouting was observed for both the clones. Maximum sprouting was observed when the stumps were planted in polybags during February to May for clone RRIM 600 and February to June for clone RRII 105, possibly due to the

Table 1. Periodic sprouting (%) of polybag plants of clone RRIM 600

	OHC I	TATIAT O	-				
Month of		Sr	routi	ng (%)	after o	days	
planting ir	۱						
polybags	30	60	90	120	150	Total	Mean
January	-	40	08	04	-	52	58
-	(-)*	(46)	(10)	(06)	(02)	(64)	
February	52	10	-	-	-	62	74
	(50)	(36)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(86)	
March	34	24	-	-	-	58	69
	(48)	(32)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(80)	
April	50	04	02	-	-	56	66
-	(46)	(16)	(14)	(-)	(-)	(76)	
May	22	16	10	-	-	48	64
-	(28)	(40)	(12)	(-)	(-)	(80)	
June	22	18	10	-	-	50	55
	(26)	(24)	(10)	(-)	(-)	(60)	
July	22	08	12	10	-	52	61
•	(18)	(32)	(20)	(-)	(-)	(70)	
August	24	12	08	-	-	44	48
Ü	(20)	(18)	(10)	(04)	(-)	(52)	
September	16	16	05	04	-	41	44.5
-	(14)	(28)	(06)	(-)	(-)	(48)	
October	16	14	06	02	-	38	41
	(14)	(14)	(09)	(07)	(-)	(44)	
November	-	06	06	08	12	32	34
	(-)	(10)	(06)	(16)	(04)	(36)	
December	-	-	08	10	09	27	30
	(-)	(-)	(16)	(14)	(03)	(33)	
4.17	•		1				/0/ \

^{*} Figures in parentheses show sprouting success (%) during 2nd year

Table 2. Sprouting (%) of polybag plants of clone RRII 105

	KKII I	105	_				
Month of planting in	 1	Sp	routir	ng (%)	after	days	
polybags	30	60	90	120	150	Total	Mean
January	-	24	18	•	-	42	48
•	(-)*	(46)	(10)	(-)	(-)	(54)	
February	40	22	-	-	-	62	71
•	(32)	(40)	(08)	(-)	(-)	(80)	
March	48	18	- 1	-	-	66	71
	(44)	(32)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(76)	
April	34	20	10	-	-	64	65
. •	(40)	(18)	(08)	(-)	(-)	(66)	
May	22	26	16	-	-	64	67
· ·	(30)	(30)	(10)	(-)	(-)	(70)	
June	24	32	4	-	-	60	62
	(34)	(26)	(04)	(-)	(-)	(64)	
July	18	26	10	-	-	54	56
	(16)	(34)	(06)	(02)	(-)	(58)	
August	20	16	12	-	-	48	54
Ü	(24)	(26)	(10)	(-)	(-)	(60)	
Septembe	r 26	16	-	-	-	42	45
•	(24)	(08)	(06)	(04)	(-)	(42)	
October	24	10	04	-	-	38	40
•	(24)	(08)	(06)	(04)	(-)	(42)	
Novembe	r-	08	16	05	04	33	34
	(-)	(10)	(08)	(04)	(10)	(35)	
December	-	· -	12	02	16	30	35
	(-)	(-)	(26)	(10)	(04)	v(40)	

^{*} Figures in parentheses show sprouting success (%) during 2nd year

prevalence of favourable agroclimate during that period (Sethuraj et al., 1989). A gradual reduction in sprouting was noticed for plantings from June onwards with the minimum for stumps planted during November and December. These months coincide with the onset of cold and dry period, which may have adversely affected the sprouting and growth (Mandal et al., 1998, 1999). Effect of climatic factors on establishment of plants in polybag nursery

Table 3. Effect of climatic factors on sprouting success

	Season								
Factors	Summer (Mar-May)	Monsoon (June-Sept)	Cool (Oct-Nov	Cold <u>(D</u> ec-Feb)					
Rainfall (mm)	177.1	356.8	74.7	34.8					
R.H. (%)	74.6	83.1	80.4	74.2					
Max. Temp.(°C)	33.6	32.9	29.7	26.1					
Min. Temp. (°C)	19.4	24.6	18.8	10.1					
Sunshine (hours	6.2	3.8	5.3	4.5					
Sprouting (%)									
RRIM 600	68.02	45.25	34.50	54.33					
RRII 105	67.33	59.01	32.50	51.83					

and the same of the same of

SINGH et al.

Table 4. Morphological parameters of polybag plants of Hevea (150 days after planting)

lable 4. Morphological parameters or polybag plants of Hebea (150 days after planting)										
Months of planting	Girth (cm)		Height (cm)		Leaves	(No.)	Whorls (No.)			
in polybags	1	II	I	II	I	II	1	II		
January	2.03	2.26	45.40	43.90	26.45	23.95	2.05	2.05		
February	2.45	2.43	62.55	54.70	34.05	29.55	3.70	3.70		
March	2.42	2.12	61.05	54.70	33.55	29.50	3.55	3.35		
April	2.51	2.46	63.65	56.30	34.05	30.75	3.30	3.10		
May	2.61	2.14	59.65	46.10	33.20	29.90	3.25	3.00		
June	2.53	2.39	63.80	54.20	32.25	29.05	3.55	3.05		
July	2.58	2.52	51.20	41.70	30.20	27.10	3.40	2.95		
August	2.37	2.26	47.05	47.20	30.40	26.40	2.85	2.55		
September	2.06	2.03	44.65	41.60	30.10	25.55	3.00	2:55		
October	2.10	1.93	43.05	44.65	28.35	26.65	2.40	2.50		
November	2.04	2.10	42.75	38.65	28.35	26.05	2.40	2.80		
December	1.85	2.01	44.60	41.50	29.55	25.75	2.25	2.15		
Sem±	0.01	0.06	1.23	1.10	0.80	0.69	0.11	0.05		
CD (at 5%)	0.02	0.18	3.82	3.40	2.47	2.13	0.31	0.16		

I = RRIM 600; II = RRII 105

during different seasons are presented in Table 3. For both the clones maximum sprouting was observed during the summer season (March to May) when plants received adequate rain and optimum day temperature together with sufficient sunlight. Minimum sprouting was noticed during the cool period (October and November) for both the clones. Morphological data for the two clones are given in Table 4. Significant difference in girth, height and number of leaves and whorls were observed for both the clones. Growth of both the clones were found to be better when they were planted in polybags during February to June. Simple correlation between morphological parameters and agroclimatic factors are shown in Table 5. Positive and significant correlation between girth and maximum air temperature was observed for the clone RRIM 600. Bright sunshine hours was significantly correlated with plant height and number of leaves for both the clones. Relative humidity was found negatively correlated with all the morphological parameters studied for both the clones.

It can be concluded that under the agroclimatic conditions of Mizoram, the optimum time for planting budded stumps in polybags for raising *Hevea* plants is March to May. Such plants can be transplanted to the field during August to September of the same year.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are extremely grateful to Dr. Y. Annamma Varghese, former Project Co-ordinator, NERC, Agartala and Sri. Jacob Pothen, Deputy Director, CES, Chethackal for their encouragements. Thanks are also due to Dr. S.K. Dey, Deputy Director, RRS, Agartala and Dr. G.C. Mondal, RRS, Guwahati for helpful discussion.

Table 5. Correlation between agroclimatic and morphological parameters

								L		
Meteorological	Girth		Height		Leaves		Whorls		Sprouting (%)	
parameters	Ī	II		П	I	II	I	II	I	II
Max. Temp.	0.66 *	0.39	0.38	0.34	0.39	0.54 *	0.59 *	0.36	0.56 *	0.54 *
Min. Temp.	0.45	0.24	0.06	0.02	0.10	0.22	0.37	0.15	0.02	0.12
R.H.	-0.03	-0.05	-0.38	-0.32	-0.34	-0.26	-0.01	-0.17	-0.38	-0.29
Sunshine	0.21	0.37	0.52 *	0.58 *	0.58*	0.57 *	0.28	0.47	0.55 *	0.51 *
Rainfall	0.41	0.25	-0.03	-0.04	0.28	0.10	0.29	0.11	0.09	0.11

I = RRIM 600; II = RRII 105 * Significant at $P \le 0.05$

REFERENCES

- Gomez, K.K. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research, Ed. 2, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 680 p.
- Mandal, D., Chaudhuri, D., Raj, S., Sarma, R. and Sethuraj, M.R. (1998). Effect of agroclimate on growth of *Hevea* clones in humid sub-tropical environment. *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Current Trends in Plant Physiology and Plant Biochemistry*, 1998, Hyderabad, India, pp. 155.
- Mandal, D., Singh, R.P., Mondal, G.C., Gohain, T. and Chaudhuri, D. (1999). Impact of agroclimate on growth and establishment of *Hevea* clones during immature phase. *National Symposium*
- on Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 15-17 February 1999, Indore (MP), India.
- Rubber Board (1990). Rubber Grower's Companion. Rubber Board, Kottayam, Kerala, India, pp. 13.
- Sethuraj, M.R., Potty, S.N., Vijayakumar, K.R., Krishnakumar, A.K., Rao, P.S., Thapliyal, A.P., Mohankrishna, T., Rao, G.G., Chaudhuri, D., George, M.J., Soman, T.A. and Meenattoor, J.R. (1989). Growth performance of *Hevea* in the nontraditional regions of India. *Proceedings, Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia Rubber Growers Conference*, 1989, Malaca, Malaysia, pp. 212-227.