GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE AND FOREST PRODUCTIVITY

James Jacob¹, A. S. Devakumar² and Bert G. Drake³

¹Rubber Research Institute of India, Kottayam 686 009, Kerala, India ²Forestry College, Ponnampet, Coorg, Karnataka, India ³Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgwater, MD 21037, USA

Abstract

Will terrestrial ecosystems continue to be a potential sink for atmospheric CO₂ mitigating the effects of global climate change? The biological, climatological and political implications of this question are enormous. Here we address this question in the light of the findings of various studies on the long term effects of elevated CO₂ on productivity of plants in general and forests in particular.

Native forests are dominated by plants with C₃ type of photosynthesis. Because C₃ photosynthesis is CO₂-limited at the existing atmospheric CO₂ concentration, elevated CO₂ increases photosynthetic rates in these plants at any given light intensity. Increased photosynthesis at very low light intensities has relevance to forest undergrowths and shaded leaves of large trees in the forest where photosynthesis is usually light-limited. Several studies show that the initial stimulation in photosynthesis may decrease with time depending upon the nutrition level and sink capacity of the plants, but the photosynthetic rates will not go below the rates observed at the current levels of CO₂. Increased canopy photosynthesis and decreased respiration and transpiration rates led to increased biomass at the cost of reduced amounts of water in plants and ecosystems that were exposed to elevated CO₂. Plants grown at elevated CO₂ had higher efficiency of water, light and nutrient utilization and altered tissue composition, particularly increased carbohydrate and decreased protein contents. This can alter the CN ratio and thus the quality of litter affecting its decomposition in a future world rich in CO₂. Long-term regulation of these biological processes in response to elevated CO₂ will have a direct impact on the carbon and water balance of ecosystems.

Keywords: Elevated CO, forest productivity, photosynthesis, respiration, transpiration.

Introduction

The ever-increasing industrial activity and urbanization lead to tremendous emission of green house gases into the atmosphere mostly due to the burning of fossil fuels. This, along with the large-scale deforestation due to population pressure is causing progressive rise in the concentration in CO, in the atmosphere (Ca). Between 1750 and 1850, CO, increased at the rate of 0.05 to 0.1 ppm yr1, but between 1850 and 1950, this was as high as 0.5 ppm yr-1 (Neftal et al., 1985). During the past 50 years the rate of increase in CO, was around 0.83 ppm yr-1 and at present it is 1.8 ppm yr⁻¹ (John and Lloyd, 1992). There is an environmental cost for every developmental activity and rising CO, and global climate change are the cost that the human kind is paying to sustain its present way of living.

Photosynthesis, respiration and transpiration are the primary biological processes by which plants respond directly to the increasing CO,. Of late, there has been a lot of interest on the effect of increasing CO, on the productivity of natural and managed ecosystems. Understanding the longterm acclamatory responses of the above plant functions is critical to any predictions on the productivity of ecosystems in a future world rich in CO,. Often this understanding is missing in several climate change models (Schimel, 1990). For instance, it is generally assumed in several models that stimulation in photosynthesis at elevated CO, is a short-term response and other limiting factors become operational restricting the continued stimulation at elevated CO, (Bazzaz, 1990) which is not so in most cases (Drake et al., 1997).

Why do plants respond to rising CO₂ concentration?

- The primary carboxylase enzyme in C, plants, ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase (Rubisco) - the most abundant protein on the planet - has the dual function of carboxylase (leading to photosynthetic CO, assimilation) and oxygenase (leading to photorespiratory release of CO,) at the same time. This enzyme is CO, limited atthepresent CO, concentration. A doubling of CO, from the present level can inhibit oxygen as eactivity by50 percent and thus inhibit photorespiration and increases photosynthesis (Sharkey et al., 1989). This explains the immediate and marked response of C, plants to elevated CO, (Long, 1991). While most studies show that photosynthesis will increase and continue to stay at the increased rate, there are also reports that long-term growth at an elevated CO, can sometimes reduce the photosynthetic rates.
- Stomata are very sensitive to CO, in the ambientair. Increasing CO, closes stomata and thus decreases transpiration. Doubling of CO, concentration decreased transpiration by 10 to 40 per cent in different species (Kimble and Idso, 1983; Allen, 1990; Wong and Dunin, 1987; Jones et al., 1985). Changes in stomatal density have also been reported in plants grown at elevated CO. (Gunderson and Wullschleger, 1994; Beerling and Chaloner, 1993). The reduced stomatal conductance does not limit photosynthesis due to the large CO, concentration gradient existing between the leaf intercellular spaces and the ambientair at elevated CO,. A reduction in the leaf transpiration rate will make the leaf temperature go up slightly. This will have other effects on the leaf metabolism that is sensitive to temperature.
- Elevated CO₂ has a direct inhibitory effect on the Cytochrome c oxidase pathway, but not on the cyanide resistant alternative pathway of the mitochondrial respiration (Gonzalez-Meller et al., 1996). Inspite of an increase in the concentration of sugars, a considerable reduction in the respiration rates was seen in the mature tissues of plants grown at elevated CO₂ (Amthor, 1991; Bunce, 1990). But in several studies, the increased photosynthetic rates seen in the young leaf stimulated respiration at elevated CO₂ (Havelka et al., 1984; Porter et al., 1988).

The relevant question here is how far will these effects reflect at the ecosystem level? What will be the nature

and extent of their acclimation to increased CO₂ in the long-term? These are issues that have a direct relationship to ecosystem productivity in a future CO₂ enriched world.

From single leaf to ecosystem level processes

Although the extent of elevated CO₂-induced stimulation in leaf photosynthesis can decline with time, the rates are still more than the present normal CO₂ (Jacob *et al.*, 1994; Stitt, 1991; Long and Drake, 1992). Down regulation in leaf photosynthesis with time was related to the acclimatory response of the biochemical apparatus of photosynthesis. Reduced concentration of Rubisco (Jacob *et al.*, 1994; Stitt, 1991), altered nitrogen allocation to various components and tissues (Woodrow, 1994) and increased concentrations of sugars (Nie *et al.*, 1995; Long and Drake, 1992) are the major reasons for photosynthetic acclimation.

While the nitrogen dynamics of the plants may be related to the nitrogen nutrition, accumulation of carbohydrates may reflect reduced sink capacity. In this context, it is interesting to note that several annual crop plants grown in small pots with restricted root growth had greater down regulation in photosynthesis than many perennial plant species exposed to elevated CO2 in their native habitat (Thomas and Strain, 1991; Cure and Acock., 1986; Long and Drake, 1992; Arp, 1991; Arp and Drake, 1991; Gunderson et al., 1993). The sink demand for more photosynthates may help to maintain a steady high rate of photosynthesis at elevated CO, by preventing the accumulation of sugars in the leaves. For large forest tree species, the timber can act as a potential sink for photosynthates.

Several studies conducted in the natural environment show how the effects of elevated CO₂ on photosynthesis and respiration observed at the single leaflevel were translated to whole plant and ecosystem levels. Net ecosystem carbon uptake was considerably increased in native species grown at elevated CO₂ in the field for several years which led to increased dry matter production per unit land area (Long and Drake, 1992). Continuous growth at elevated CO₂ in the field for several years maintained a high canopy photosynthetic rate and low respiratory rate and showed high primary production of both shoot and root systems resulting in the accumulation of carbon in the soil (Drake, 1992). Native ecosystems of a

Table 1. Effect of continuous growth at elevated CO, on tissue composition, photosynthesis and respiration

	CO, Concentration		% change
	350 ppm	700 ppm	
Soluble sugars (g m ⁻²)	16.5 (1.88)	25.2 (3.75)	+53
Starch (g m ⁻²)	55.3 (5.45)	83.4 (5.77)	+51
Soluble proteins (g m ⁻²)	1.94 (0.24)	1.19 (0.10)	-39
N content (%)	1.15 (0.17)	0.79 (0.09)	-31
Photosynthesis (mmol m ⁻² leaf area s ⁻¹)	14.3 (2.3)	24.4 (4.5)	+71
Ecosystem photosynthesis (mmol m ⁻² land area s ⁻¹)	24.6 (3.6)	42.7 (5.2)	+75
Ecosystem Respiration (mmol m ⁻² land area s ⁻¹)	6.1 (1.4)	3.3 (1.2)	-50

grassland (Field et al., 1995) and priarie (Ham et al., 1995) and a wheat crop (Pinter et al., 1996) showed increased biomass production at elevated CO₂. Except in the arctic tundra, long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ increased canopy photosynthesis in all the field level experiments with native and agricultural ecosystems (Drake et al., 1997). Thus terrestrial ecosystems may continue to be a sink for increasing atmospheric CO₂.

A brief summary of some of the important findings from the longest running field experiment on the effects of elevated CO₂ on native ecosystem is given in Tables 1 and 2. These results show how the leaf level responses to elevated CO₂ were translated to ecosystem level processes.

Table 2. Long-term effects of continuous exposure to elevated CO₂ in a wetland ecosystem dominated by *Scirpus olneyi*, a C₃ sedge

Single leaf level	% change compared to normal ambient CO ₂
Photosynthesis	+20 to 100
Carbohydrates	+25 to 50
Soluble Proteins	- 40 to 52
Rubisco	- 30 to 55
Tissue N content	- 18
Nitrogen Use Efficiency	+60 to 80
Respiration Ecosystem level	- 30
Photosynthesis	+40
Respiration	- 45
Evapotranspiration	- 20
Water Use Efficiency	+79
Shoots & Leaves	+12 to 15
Roots	+40
Insects & Fungi	- 30
Decomposition	- 22
Soil invertebrates	+25 to 100
Soil C	+5

Scirpus olneyi, a C₃ sedge growing in a wetland marsh ecosystem was exposed to elevated CO₂ in its natural habitat using open top chambers (Jacob*et al.*, 1994) for several years. Each value is a mean of more then 10-15 replications. SE is shown in parenthesis.

Growth at elevated CO₂ increased leaf area per plant (Devakumar, 1994) and extensive branching in many species (Rogersetal., 1984) and more tillering in grasses (Sionitetal., 1981). Several species showed an increase in the specific leaf weight presumably due to increase in the starch content at elevated CO₂ (Huber et al., 1984). Root growth was found stimulated at elevated CO₂ (Del castillo et al., 1989; Devakumar and Jacob, 1997; Baker et al., 1990; Dey et al., 1996). Elevated CO₂ can also influence the reproductive biology of plants (Marcand Gifford, 1984) which can be an indirect effect of CO₂ concentration through altering the development process (Lawlorand Mitchel, 1990).

Will warmer regions of the world respond better to elevated CO,?

Atelevated temperatures, Rubisco will tend to be more of an oxygenase than carboxylase due to better solubility of O₂ in water and increased specificity of RubiscoforO₂ compared to CO₂. By making more CO₂ available to the enzyme, elevated CO₂ prevents the increased oxygenase activity (Long and Drake, 1992). Thus stimulation in photosynthesis induced by elevated CO₂ is more at warmer than at cooler temperatures (Table 3).

Scirpus and scrub oak were exposed to elevated CO₂ (700 ppm) in their natural environment day and night throughout the growing season. The other three species were grown at normal ambient CO₂ and exposed to elevated CO₂ while making the measurements. Each value is a mean of 10-15 replications. SE is shown in parenthesis.

Table 3. Extent of elevated CO, stimulated leaf photosynthetic rates at 30°C and 10°C

Species	Measurement Temperature 10℃			Measurement Temperature 30℃		
	$CO_2 = 350 ppm$	$CO_2 = 700 ppm$	% increase	$CO_2 = 350 ppm$	$CO_2 = 700 \text{ppm}$	% increase
Scirpus	5.5 (1.1)	6.9 (1.8)	25	14.3 (2.1)	24.5 (2.9)	71
Scrub oak	2.5 (0.8)	3.4 (1.1)	36	8.6 (1.7)	14.5 (2.1)	69
Hevea	3.4 (1.2)	4.1 (0.9)	21	11.5 (2.9)	18.7 (3.7)	63
Sunflower	13.7 (2.2)	16.7 (3.9)	22	23.5 (3.2)	35.8 (4.1)	52
Wheat	14.4 (2.9)	18.1 (3.4)	26	21.5 (2.8)	32.4 (3.7)	51

Ecosystem photosynthesis also showed greater stimulation at elevated CO₂ and warmer temperatures (Long, 1991; McMurtrie and Wang, 1993). When CO₂ was increased from 360 to 550 ppm, canopy photosynthesis in wheat was stimulated by 40 per cent in summer and only 10 per cent in spring (Pinter et al., 1996). There was little stimulation in canopy photosynthesis at elevated CO₂ in the arctic tundra (Oechel et al., 1994). Thus the warmer tropics and subtropics may be a better sink for CO₂ and gain more biomass than the temperate regions as CO₂ goes up due to the favorable effect of high temperature on photosynthesis. But a minor offset can be expected because of the stimulation in dark respiration rates at warmer temperatures.

Water, light and nitrogen use efficiency

Because of increased photosynthetic and decreased transpiration rates, instantaneous water use efficiency was markedly improved in plants grown at elevated CO₂. Several studies show increased water use efficiency at elevated CO₂ (Ziska et al., 1991). The trend was similar in both C₃ and C₄ species (Morison, 1985). Studies in the wetland (Arp, 1991), prairie (Ham et al., 1995) and grassland (Field et al., 1995) ecosystems have shown that reduced transpiration rates of the leaves led to decreased water consumption at the ecosystem levels. Elevated CO₂ led to more than 75 per cent increase in field level water use efficiency in wheat (Pinter et al., 1996). This can increase the soil moisture status and thus delay the onset of soil moisture deficit.

Elevated CO_2 increases light-limited photosynthesis by increasing the apparent quantum yield for photosynthesis and thus decreases the light compensation point if respiration is not increased (Long and Drake, 1992). Thus the photosynthetic light use efficiency is substantially increased at elevated CO_2 (Pinter et al., 1996). This will benefit the forest undergrowths and other lower canopy leaves in the forests in which photosynthesis is always limited by

low light. It is expected that elevated CO₂ may increase the rate of growth of tree seedlings (Devakumar et al., 1996; Kubiske and Pregitzer, 1996). One of the practical applications of this is to expose nursery seedlings to elevated CO₂ for better initial growth (Table 4) which will reduce the nursery period and also help to get robust seedlings which can establish better under the unmanaged conditions of the filed.

Continuous growth at elevated CO₂ often reduces the tissue nitrogen level considerably both due to reduction in the absolute levels of several proteins, particularly Rubisco and due to the increased carbohydrate contents. In spite of less tissue nitrogen, the plants have high photosynthesis and biomass production and hence their nitrogen use efficiency is high. This is because when there is more CO₂ available for photosynthesis, the plants only require far less amounts of Rubisco to perform photosynthesis (Sharkey, 1985; Long, 1991). Changes in Rubisco contents can alter the tissue nitrogen level significantly because this enzyme is present in very large quantities in photo-synthesizing organs (Jacob et al., 1995).

These plants were exposed to elevated CO₂ using an indigenous method (Devakumar *et al.*, 1996) for 90 days from their germination. There was species difference in the extent of dry matter production by the seedlings at elevated CO₂.

Litter decomposition and nutrient turnover

Studies have shown that increased supply of nitrogen increases photosynthesis and that photosynthetic acclimation is more when nitrogen is limiting (Bowes, 1993; Curtis, 1996). Therefore an important factor that can impair the photosynthetic productivity of native forest ecosystems can be the limited amounts of available nitrogen in the soils. When the litter decomposition is poor due to various reasons including soil biology, litter composition or weather

Table 4. Increased dry matter production in nursery seedlings when exposed to elevated CO,

Species	% increase in dry matter per seedling		
Annona squamosa	36		
Zizyphus jujuba	125		
Tamarindus indica	12		
Acacia auriculiformis	14		
Dalbergia sissoo	193		
Spathodia campanulata	88		
Derris indica	135		
Feronia elephantum	17		
Artocarpus integrifolia	155		
Swietenia microphylla	101		
Eucalyptus citriodora	51		
Tectona grandis	460		
Dalbergia latifolia Hevea brasiliensis	36		
Hevea brasiliensis	25		

conditions - all of which are expected to change in a future world - more and more nitrogen will get locked up in the organic matter making nitrogen unavailable to the plants.

Changes in the biochemical composition of the tissue (Jacob et al., 1995) affect the forage quality and palatability of the litter (Thompson and Drake, 1994). Plants grown at elevated CO, tended to have a high C/N ratio which reduced the nutrient value of the litter (Drake et al., 1997). This has been attributed as the reason for the reduced insect and fungus infestation in the plants grown at elevated CO, (Thomson and Drake, 1994). When there is no choice of food, the larvae may increase the quantity of consumption to make up for the poor quality of the feed. Growth at elevated CO, increased phenolics and tannins in Eucalyptus and the beetles that were fed with this had poor growth and high mortality (Lawler et al., 1997).

Differential response of species to elevated CO, can create competition among various species in a natural vegetation (Bazzaz and Carlson, 1984). This may lead to changes in the composition of the vegetation (Leadley and Stocklin, 1996). It is possible that such changes can affect the composition and structure of herbivorous pests and microbes which are vital links in the nutrient cycle in native ecosystems. An increase in the soil microbial biomass has been reported at elevated CO, by Schenk et al. (1995). While a lot of information is available on the effects of elevated CO, on primary productivity, little is known about its effect on litter decomposition and nutrient cycling which can put a ceiling on the maximum response of forest ecosystems to rising concentration of CO, in the atmosphere.

References

- Allen L H Jr. 1990. Plant responses to rising carbon dioxide and potential interactions with air pollutants. J. Environ. Qual.,
- Amthor J S 1991. Respiration in a future, higher CO, world.
- Plant, Cell Environ., 14: 13-20. Arp W J 1991. Effects of source-sink relations on photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO, Plant, Cell Environ., 14: 869-876.
- Arp W J and Drake BG 1991. Increased photosynthetic-capacity of Scripus olneyi after 4 years of exposure to elevated CO, Plant, Cell Environ., 14: 1004-1008.
- Baker J T, Allen L H Jr. and Boot K J 1990. Growth and responses
- of rice to CO, concentrations. J. Agric. Sci., 115: 313-320. Bazzaz F A 1990. The response of natural ecosystems to the rising global CO₂ levels. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 21: 167-96.
- Bazzaz F A and Carlson R W 1984. The response of plants to elevated CO,-I Competition among an assemblage of annuals at two levels of soil moisture. Oecologia, 62: 196-198.
- Beerling D J and Chaloner W G 1993. Evolutionary responses of stomatal density to global carbon dioxide change. Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 48: 343-53.
- Bowes G 1993. Facing the inevitable: Plants and increasing atmospheric CO, Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 44: 309-32.
- Bunce J A 1990. Short and long-term inhibition of respiratory carbon dioxide efflux by elevated carbon dioxide. Ann. Bot.,
- Conroy J P, Milham P J and Barlow E W R 1992. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus availability on the growth response of Eucalyptus grandis to high CO., Plant Cell Environ., 15: 843-
- Cure J D and Acock B 1986. Crop responses to carbon dioxide doubling: a literature survey. Agric. For. Meteorol., 38: 127-
- Curtis P S 1996. A meta-analysis of leaf gas exchange and nitrogen in trees grown under elevated CO₂ in situ. Plant Cell Environ., 19: 127-37.
- Curtis PS, Drake BG. and Whigham DF 1989. Nitrogen and carbon dynamics in C3 and C4 estuarine marsh plants grown under elevated CO, in situ. Oecologia, 78: 297-301.
- Day FP, Weber EP, Hinkle CR and Drake BG 1996. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO2 on fine root length and distribution in an oak-palmetto scrub ecosystem in central
- Florida. Global Change Biol., 2: 143-148.

 Del castillo D, Acock B, Reddy V R and Acock M C 1989.

 Elongation and branching of roots on soybean plants in a carbon dioxide enriched aerial environment. Agron. J., 81:
- Devakumar AS 1994. Response of tree species to elevated CO,: An approach to enhance early sapling growth rate. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Devakumar A S and Jacob J 1997. Growth and development of plants in a CO2 enriched world. Agro's Ann. Rev. Pl. Physiol., 281-317 pp.
- Devakumar AS, Udayakumar M and Prasad TG 1996. A simple technique to expose tree seedlings to elevated CO, for increased initial growth rates. Curr. Sci., 71: 469-472.

Drake B G 1992. A field study on the effects of elevatd CO, on ecosystem processes in a Chesapeake bay wetland. Aust. J. Biol., 40: 579-95.

Drake BG, Gonzalez-Meler M and Long SP 1997. More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO2?. Ann. Review Pl. Physiol. Mol. Biol., 48: 609-639.

Farquhar G D, von Caemmerer S and Berry J A 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO, assimilation in leaves of C3 species. Planta., 149: 78-90.

Field C B, Jackson R B, Mooney H A 1995. Stomatal responses to increased CO2: implications from the plant to the global scale. Plant Cell Environ., 18: 1214-25.

Gunderson C A, Norby R L and Wullschleger S D 1993. Foliar gas exchange responses of two deciduous hardwoods during 3 years of growth in elevated CO. N. L. years of growth in elevated CO,: No loss of photosynthetic enhancement. Plant, Cell Environ., 16: 770-778.

Gunderson C A, Wullschleger S D 1994. Photosynthetic acclimation in trees to rising atmospheric CO2: a broader perspective. Photosynth. Res., 39(3): 369-88.

Ham J M, Owensby C E, Coyne P I, Bremer D J 1995. Fluxes of CO, and water vapor from a prairie ecosystem exposed to ambient and elevated CO, Agric. For. Meteorol., 77: 73-93. Havelka U D, Ackerson R C, Boyle M G and Wittenbach L A

1984. CO₂ enrichment effect on soybean physiology I. Effects of long-term exposure. Crop Sci., 24: 1146-1168. Huber S C, Rogers H H and Mowry F L 1984. Effects of water

stress on photosynthesis and carbon partitioning in soybean plants grown in the field at different CO, levels. Plant Physiol., 76: 244-249.

Jacob J, Grieter C and Drake B G 1995. Acclimation of photosynthesis in relation to Rubisco and nonstructural carbohydrate contents and in situ carboxylase activity in Scripus olneyi grown at elevated CO₂ in the field. Plant, Cell Environ., 18: 875-884.

John T A and Lloyd J 1992. Sources and sinks of atmospheric CO, Aust. J. Bot., 40: 407-418.

Jones PG, Lloyd JC and Raines CA 1985. Glucose feeding of intact wheat plants represses the expression of a number of Calvin cycle genes. Plant, Cell Environ., 19: 231-236.

Kimble B A and Idso S B 1983. Increasing atmospheric CO,: Effects on crop yield, water use and climate. Agril. Water Manage., 7: 63-74.

Kubiske M E and Pregitzer K S 1996. Effects of elevated CO, and light availability on the photosynthetic light response of trees of contrasting shade tolerance. Tree Physiol., 16: 351-

Lawler I R, Foley W J, Woodrow I E and Cork S J 1997. The effects of elevated CO, atmospheres on the nutritional quality of Eucalyptus foliage and its interaction with soil nutrient and light availability. Oeocologia (In Press).

Lawlor DW and Mitchell RAC 1990. The effects of increasing CO, on crop photosynthesis and productivity: a review of field studies. Plant, Cell Environ., 14: 807-818.

Leadly PW and Stocklin J 1996. Effects of elevated CO, on moel calcareous grasslands: community, species and genotype level responses. Global Change Biology, 2: 389-397.

Long S P 1991. Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric CO. concentration: Has its importance been underestimated? Plant. Cell Environ., 14: 729-739.

Long SP and Drake BG 1992. Photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation and rising atmospheric CO2 concentrations. In: N R Baker and H Thomas (eds.) Crop Photosynthesis: Spatial and temporal Determinants. Elsevier Science Publishers, London., pp 69-103. Lutze J L 1996. Carbon and nitrogen Relationships in Swards

of Danthonia richardsonii in Response to Carbon Dioxide Enrichment and nitrogen Supply. Thesis submitted to: Canberra: Aust. Natl. Univ.

Marc I and Gifford R M 1984. Floral initiation in wheat, sunflower and sorghum under carbon dioxide enrichment. Can. J. Bot., 62: 9-14

McMurtrie R E, Wang Y P 1993. Mathematical models of the photosynthetic response of tree stands to rising CO, concentrations and temperatures. Plant, Cell Environ., 16:

Melillo J, Callaghan T V, Woodward F I, Salati E and Sinha S K 1990. Effects on ecosystems. In: Climate Change: The Ipcc Scientific Assessment, (ed.) J T Houghton, G J Jenkins, J J Ephraums. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, pp 283-310. Morison J L 1985. Sensitivity of stomata and water use efficiency

to high CO2. Plant, Cell Environ., 8: 789-796.

Neftel A, Moor E, Oeschger H and Stuffer B 1985. Evidence from polar ice cores for the increase in atmospheric CO, in the two contrives. Nature, 315: 45-47

Nie GY, Long SP, Gracia RL, Kimball BA, Lamorte RL, Pinter P J Jr., Wall G W and Webber A N 1995. Effects of free air CO, enrichment on the development of the photosynthetic apparatus in wheat, as indicated by changes in leaf proteins. Plant. Cell. Environ., 18: 855-864.

Norby R J, Pastor J and Melillo J M 1986. Carbon-nitrogen interactions in CO2-enriched white oak : physiological and long-term perspectives. Tree Physiol., 2: 233-41.

Pinter P J J, Kimball B A, Garcia R L, Wall G W, Hunsaker D J, LaMorte R L 1996. Free-air CO₂ enrichment : responses of

cotton and wheat crops, 215-49 pp.
Porter H, Pat S and Lambers H 1988. The effect of elevated atmospheric CO, concentration on growth, photosynthesis and respiration of Plantago major. Physiol. Plant., 73: 553-559.

Rogers HH, Cure JD, Thomas JF and Smith JM 1984. Influence of elevated CO, on growth of soybean plants. Crop Sci., 24:

Schimel D 1990. Biogeochemical feedbacks in the Earth system. In: J Leggett (ed.) Global Warming. The Greenpeace Report, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford: pp 68-82.

Sharkey T D 1989. Photosynthesis in intact leaves of C3 plants: physics, physiology and rate limitations. Bot. Rev., 51: 53-

Sionit N, Strain B R and Besford R A 1981. Environmental control on growth and yield of okra I. Effect of temperature and CO, enrichment at cool temperature. Crop Sci., 21: 885-

Stitt M 1991. Rising CO₂ levels and their potential significance for carbon flow in photosynthetic cells. Plant Cell Environ., 82: 528-533.

Thomas R B and Strain B R 1991. Root restriction as a factor in photosynthetic acclimation of cotton seedlings grown in elevated CO, Plant Physiol., 96: 627-634.

Thompson G B and Drake B G 1994. Insects and fungi on C3 sedge and a C4 grass exposed to elevated CO, concentrations in open-top chambers in the field. Plant Cell Environ., 17: 1161-1167

Wong S C and Dunin F X 1987. Photosynthesis and transpiration of trees in a Eucalyptus forest stand: Light and humidity responses. Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 14: 619-632.

Woodrow I E 1994. Optimal acclimation of the C3 photosynthesis system under elevated CO₂. Photosynth. Res., 39: 401-412.

Ziska L H, Hogan K P, Smith A P and Drake BG 1991. Growth and photosynthetic response of nine tropical species with long exposure to elevated CO₂. Oecologia., 86: